UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,950	08/07/2002	Christian Neubauer	SCHO0068	6932	
Glenn Patent G	7590 07/17/200 <b>roup</b>	EXAMINER			
Suite L	•	LERNER, MARTIN			
3475 Edison Wa Menlo Park, CA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/089,950	NEUBAUER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MARTIN LERNER	2626	

	MARTIN LERNER	2626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
periods:							
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		THO THE ET WOOT					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		otod oldiirioi					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphane / monamone (	1 02 02 1/).				
<ul> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 1 to 10, 13, 15 to 17, and 19 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5, 7, and 9</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 to 4, 6, 8, 10, 13, and 15 to 16</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11 and 14</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	1 6 11 1 6 6 11 N						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	, ,,	•				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Martin Lerner/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2626					

## Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Independent claims 18 and 20, as newly presented, raise new issues of lack of antecedent basis for the limitations of "the predetemined amount" under 35 U.S.C. §112, 2<sup>nd</sup> ¶. New independent claims 18 and 20 would require further search and/or consideration because Applicants have failed to include the limitations of intervening claim 8 into independent claims 18 and 20. The final rejection says that claim 9 is objected to, but would be allowable if rewritten into independent form including all the limitations of the intervening claims. However, Applicants have not included the limitations of intervening claim 8, upon which claim 9 depends, when rewriting claim 9 as independent claims 18 and 20, so that "the predetermined amount", defining a relationship to the quantized noise energy, lacks antecedent basis.